



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/078,271	02/20/2002	Manabu Takezaki	TAKEZAKI=1	3403	
1444	7590 12/14/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. 624 NINTH STREET, NW			MANCHO, RONNIE M		
SUITE 300	SIREEI, NW	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	TON, DC 20001-5303		3663		

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/078,271	TAKEZAKI ET AL.  Art Unit	
		Examiner		
		Ronnie Mancho	3663	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence add	dress
Period fo	• •			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become AB.	CATION.  Exply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this co  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ju	ılv 2006.		
		action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowar		ers, prosecution as to the	merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E		·	
Disposit	ion of Claims		•	•
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8,16-33 and 35</u> is/are pending in the	e application.		
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	• •		
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s)is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8,16-33 and 35</u> are subject to restrict	ction and/or election requir	rement.	
Applicati	ion Papers			
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	-	
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b)⊡ objected to b	y the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(	s) is objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PT	O-152.
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)		s have been received		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		onlication No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stage
	application from the International Bureau	<u>-</u>	received in this ivational c	Stage
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , ,	eceived.	
_				
Attachmen	t(s)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Interview Si	ummary (PTO-413)	
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date	
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/30/06</u> .	5)  Notice of In	formal Patent Application	
. цро		-, 🗀 🗸	<del></del> '	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

#### Remark.

1. Based on applicant's amendment and arguments, a species election is required. Any inconvenience the applicant is regretted.

### Election/Restrictions

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

The species are independent or distinct because of the patentably distinct embodiments in applicant's disclosure..

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no generic claims are allowable.

## Elect:

- 1. figs 1-3, only or
- 2. figs. 4 and 5, only or
- 3. fig. 6, only or
- 4. figs. 7-10, only or
- .5. figs. 11-13, only or
- 6. figs. 14-17, only.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

Application/Control Number: 10/078,271

Art Unit: 3663

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Application/Control Number: 10/078,271

Art Unit: 3663

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

Page 4

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Communication

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ronnie Mancho whose telephone number is 571-272-6984. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs: 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ronnie Mancho

Examiner

Art Unit 3663

12/11/06

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER